UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,546	12/05/2003	Charles C. Raney	7404-541	1896
WOODARD, EMHARDT, MORIARTY, MCNETT & HENRY LLP 111 MONUMENT CIRCLE, SUITE 3700			EXAMINER	
			HOEKSTRA, JEFFREY GERBEN	
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-5137			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	
				 -
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/21/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@uspatent.com GMercer@uspatent.com Karla.Dirks@Roche.com

\mathcal{C}^{\bullet}	
\mathcal{M}	
\sim_0	

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/729.546 RANEY ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3736 Jeffrey G. Hoekstra All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Jeffrey G. Hoekstra. (2) Charles Schmal. Date of Interview: 13 June 2007. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-10,14-18,21-23 and 31-47</u>. Identification of prior art discussed: WO 98/24366. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \times N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant and Examiner discussed the outstanding Final Rejection mailed 04/10/2007, proposed amendments to the claims, and proposed new claims further defining the test strip, specifically with regards to the test area in fluid communication with the sampling passageway, the hydrophobic seal, and the hydrophobic recessed bottom surface. . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Miner's lighature, if required